UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/565,412	02/17/2006	Kenichi Muramatsu	P400580	5524
Alexander R Schlee Viering Jentschura & Partners Suite 203 3770 Highland Avenue Manhattan Beach, CA 90266			EXAMINER	
			CALLAWAY, JADE R	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2872	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/12/2009	PAPER

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/565,412	MURAMATSU ET AL.				
Notice of Abandonment	Examiner	Art Unit				
	JADE R. CALLAWAY	2872				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app		l e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e				
This application is abandoned in view of:						
Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office     (a) ☐ A reply was received on (with a Certificate of M period for reply (including a total extension of time of, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proper reply to the Office of Management (a) ☐ A proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proper reply to the Office of Management (b) ☐ A proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proper reply to the Office of Management (b) ☐ A proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proper reply to the Office of Management (b) ☐ A proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proper reply to the Office of Management (c) ☐ A proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proper reply was received on, but it does not be a proper reply was received on, but it does not be a proper reply was received on, but it does not be a proper reply was received on, but it does not be a proper reply was received on, but it does not be a proper reply was received on	lailing or Transmission dated month(s)) which expired on	), which is after the expiration of the				
(A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C	n consists only of: (1) a timely filed ar Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); o	nendment which places the				
(c) ☐ A reply was received on but it does not constitutional rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See €		mpt at a proper reply, to the non-				
(d) 🛮 No reply has been received.						
<ul> <li>2.  Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-8:         <ul> <li>(a)  The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was</li> <li>), which is after the expiration of the statutory per Allowance (PTOL-85).</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	5). received on (with a Certifica	ate of Mailing or Transmission dated				
(b) ☐ The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance	e of \$ is due.					
The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$	The publication fee, if required by 37	CFR 1.18(d), is \$				
(c) $\square$ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has no	ot been received.					
<ul> <li>3. Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as requal Allowability (PTO-37).</li> <li>(a) Proposed corrected drawings were received on</li> </ul>						
after the expiration of the period for reply.	after the expiration of the period for reply.					
(b) ☐ No corrected drawings have been received.						
<ol> <li>The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the the applicants.</li> </ol>	e attorney or agent of record, the assi	ignee of the entire interest, or all of				
5. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application.	attorney or agent (acting in a repres	entative capacity under 37 CFR				
6. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claim		e the period for seeking court review				
7. X The reason(s) below:						
Examiner Callaway confirmed with Applicant's repre	esentatives on 5/7/09 that a respo	onse has not been submitted.				
/Stephone B. Allen/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2872	/JADE R. CALLAWAY/ Examiner, Art Unit 2872					
Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdra	w the holding of abandonment under 37 (	CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to				